

of America

Congressional Record

PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES OF THE 105^{th} congress, second session

Vol. 144

WASHINGTON, THURSDAY, OCTOBER 8, 1998

No. 140—Part II

House of Representatives

AUTHORIZING THE COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY TO INVESTIGATE WHETHER SUFFICIENT GROUNDS EXIST FOR THE IMPEACHMENT OF WILLIAM JEFFERSON CLINTON, PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

(Continued)

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Colorado (Ms. DEGETTE).

(Ms. DEGETTE asked and was given permission to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. DEGETTE. Mr. Speaker, historians note that those who are in the middle of history often do not themselves recognize it. Today should not be about polls. Today should not be about the upcoming November election, and even today should not be about the serious matter of sexual misconduct. But with all due respect to my friends, that is exactly what today is all about.

This is only the third time in the history of this country that we are talking about opening impeachment proceedings against our President, and I am shocked at how many people, including some in this chamber, take this serious matter so lightly, even gleefully. We are witnessing a stampede to justice, my friends, and like so many stampedes, when the trail dust settles, we will leave chaos and we will leave ruin.

This is a time for statesmanship. Each one of us must independently assess the best direction for this House and this country, and I will say it is not an open ended, never ending, witch-hunt without any limits. We need to carefully consider the Starr report. We need to set a guideline and then we need to move forward with the serious, serious business of this country.

Mr. Speaker, the House is about to decide whether to exercise one of the most grave constitutional steps within our power: hearings concerning the impeachment of the President.

This is the most serious decision we can make, next to a declaration of war. It is legislative, moral, and civic duty to caution the House to carefully weigh this dangerous, perhaps necessary step.

Like so many of you, my political conscience was formed during the Watergate scandal and I applauded the Supreme Court's ruling in U.S. versus Nixon that the President "is not above the law." The President, whoever he or she may be, is not above the law.

But my political conscience was also informed by reading "Profiles in Courage," where John Kennedy, who well-knew the passions that govern partisan political discourse, discussed the failed attempt to impeach President Andrew Johnson. Johnson was saved from impeachment by the courageous actions of several senators who withstood the deep and intense partisan public hatred of a president attempting to unite a divided country. Most historians would agree that the impeachment of Johnson would have been a constitutional, economic, and political catastrophe. In fact, the partisan bickering, motivated by the hope of political advantage, was a dark, shameful moment in American history which affected the national agenda for decades afterwards; a moment we may soon repeat if we do not learn from our history.

This is the time to ask what actions will best serve our country. Hasty decisions in a mobmentality will not serve the interests of our constituents. Frankly, I have heard little about the long-term consequences of an impeachment hearing, especially if we ultimately decide not to impeach the President. The Watergate scandal undermined the institutional authority of our political system for a generation. Therefore, we must carefully weight what we do now, because it will have consequences for at least a generation to come. Yes, we have a President who has lied to you and me and the American public. I'm, not happy about that; I am angry and outraged. He deserves our scorn and our condemnation. But we cannot impeach him because of our anger. That would turn our constitutional democracy into a parliamentary system. I am sure my colleagues do not want to subvert the constitution in that way.

What we must determine is this: does his conduct constitute a "high crime" or a "misdemeanor"? There is a reasonable doubt about that, and reasonable people can differ on the answer.

Because ours is a legislative, not judicial, judgment, exercised as part of our legislative function, we must also determine if impeachment is in the best interests of the country.

Historians note that those who are in the middle of history often do not realize it. Today, we are not talking about polls-or even elections-or even the sexual misconduct of our President. After all, this will be only the third time in history we consider impeachment of a sitting President. But that's what this debate is really about. I am shocked at how many people, including some in this Chamber, take this serious matter so lightly, even gleefully. We are witnessing a stampede to judgment. And like many stampedes, when the trail-dust settles we may leave chaos and ruin. This is a time for statesmanship. Each of us must independently assess the best direction for the House and for the country. That is why we should vote for a thoughtful process that will establish whether evidence exists to even open an inquiry before we begin a wide-ranging witch hunt with heavy heart and a keen recognition of history, and with reluctant support for this forum.

The American people, the world community, and future historians will judge us as we judge the President. I this House, at this moment, we must rise above passion and partisanship. We must be wise and equal to the public trust.

I ask my colleagues for a full debate on the resolution to open impeachment proceedings. We need more than one hour for discussion. Because of the gravity of this vote, we owe it to the American people to have a fully informed, careful, responsible discussion.

I also ask for our best judgment. I believe that the process that allows us to have more prudent decision-making is the Democratic alternative. Before we can move forward in recommending articles of impeachment, the Judiciary Committee should determine the standards for defining impeachable offenses. That would be extremely helpful and fair in our evaluation of this issue. With this information,

 \Box This symbol represents the time of day during the House proceedings, e.g., \Box 1407 is 2:07 p.m. Matter set in this typeface indicates words inserted or appended, rather than spoken, by a Member of the House on the floor.

